67-315 Interaction Design and Technology

"The best way to predict the future is to design it." — R. Buckminster Fuller

"Fundamentally, interaction design is about how people relate to other people and how products mediate those relationships. It matters little whether the product is a document, an artifact, a computer or a computer program, a service, a business activity, or an organizational environment. All of these classes of products and their specific families of products are open to design thinking that is based on facilitating the relationships among people to reach specific goals and objectives." — Richard Buchanan



Syllabus

Overview

There is a symbiotic relationship between design and technology where as technology advances and design is there to make it useful and meaningful in people's lives. This further advances technological development and around and around it goes.

It can be argued that the origins of this relationship began — and the origins of Interaction Design itself — at Xerox PARC (Palo Alto Research Center) in the 1970s. PARC at the time was the heavily-funded, highly experimental, no-boundaries, brain trust research lab in Silicon Valley that created the computer mouse, graphical user interface, object-oriented programming, and laser printing, amongst other things. It was also where the idea of the metaphor in computer interaction emerged — taking complex ideas, tasks, or models, and making them relatable to the user through concepts that could be better understood. It was critical at a time when computing technology was only taken seriously by pointy-headed programmers; the notion of user-centeredness hadn't been conceived. Perhaps PARC could be faulted for not realizing the need for human-centered design, for it was others like Apple and Adobe that brought many of their achievements to market.

Today, there are many disciplines that work in technology, design, and the interface between. Software architecture, human-computer interaction, business development, product design, and engineering, to name a few. Another such discipline is interaction design, which maintains a broad mind about what types of products it creates and how technology is a part of those products, all the while firmly holding human-centeredness as a core value.

In a broader sense, design could be considered the fluid center of many disciplines. It's a perspective and role that serves as creative agent, visionary, advocate for human-needs, for value, and for meaning in our lives. Design could be seen as facilitator of many disciplines in order to advance the human endeavor of shaping our lives and the world. Much of this form-giving is done by using technology in ways that facilitate communication and interaction.

In this class we will explore the relationship of how personal technology can bring meaning to the human experience, the employment of design values in the creation of digital spaces, and the importance of fine craftsmanship and beauty both front and back. A combination of programming, human-centered design attitude, and the craft of interfaces will be supported by lectures, readings, and labs. This course is listed under Information Systems and fulfills one elective requirement in the junior year. 9 credit hours; pre-requisite 67-272 Application Development.

Texts	Designing for Interaction by Dan Saffer	ISBN: 978-0321643391					
	• Designing with the Mind in Mind by Jeff Johnson	ISBN: 978-0123750303					
	Tomorrow's Standards Today by Brian Hogan	ISBN: 978-1934356685					
Instructors	Divakaran Liginlal, Ph.D.	Alexander R. W. Cheek, M.Des.					
	Associate Teaching Professor Information Systems	Visiting Ass't Teaching Professor Desigr					
	Email: liginlal@cmu.edu	Email: alexcheek@cmu.edu					
	Office: 2142; Hours by appointment	Office: 2187; Hours by appointment					

Interaction Design and Technology

Carnegie Mellon University in Qatar | Information Systems + School of Design

Objectives	• To explore the human-centered design endeavor for the c	onception										
	of meaningful digital experiences.											
	 To employ qualitative research methods to bring about in 	-										
	 To analyze and validate digital concepts through quantita 											
	 To craft the final solutions in ways that are technically source 	ind,										
	aesthetically professional, user-friendly, and enjoyable to	experience.										
	 To develop skills in management and facilitation, concept 	development,										
	and direction of design and technical implementation.											
	• To become familiar with current themes in the interaction design and technology											
	communities through a variety of media and readings.											
Outcomes	As a result of this course, we expect you to have a more d	eveloped understanding of the project										
	development process, and the grammar of building web sites, understanding emerging web											
	standards, learn state-of-the-art testing methods, and the application of heuristic methods											
	and testing tools. You will also become thoroughly familia	ar with the design process through										
	discovery, exploration, genesis, and structuring user expe	rience based on insight. This design and										
	development process will hone your research, ideation, management, and implementation skills.											
	The project, student presentations, labs, and other activities have been developed to assess these											
	learning outcomes.											
valuation	Your final grade will be calculated based on the scale belo	w; grading criteria listed in course rubric										
	Territory Maps, Hunt Statement, Discovery	5 points										
	Explorative & Generative Phase	+ 10 points										
	Evaluative Phase with Wireframes & Documentation	+ 10 points										
	Prototype	+ 10 points										
	Test Plan & Validated Prototype	+ 20 points										
	Final Design	+ 15 points										
	Final Documentation & Presentation	+ 10 points										
	DMiM 5 Slides 5 Minutes Presentations	+ 5 points										
	Attendance, Labs, Quizzes, Homeworks	+ 15 points = 100 points										
	No grades will be discussed over email. No extensions are granted unless a medical note or an email from your academic advisor is provided. Incomplete course grades are generally not granted											
	email from your academic advisor is provided. Incomplete course grades are generally not granted without an arrangement with the academic dean. <i>Three absences will result in the loss of a final</i>											
	letter grade; six absences will result in a failure.											
	ietter gruue; six ubserites will result in a janure.											
Decorum	Being a studio/lab, your attendance is imperative. The stu											
	work in the creative process with regular feedback. Part of the instructors' role is to guide you											
	through this process. Working off-site is sometimes necessary in research phases but aside from											
	that you are expected to be in each class for the full class	time.										
	Plagiarism is dealt with in accordance to the Carnegie Me	-										
	and policies regarding cheating and plagiarizing. Any instance of copying the work of another											
	student or copying information without proper citation is	not acceptable. The student handbook										
	details acts that are considered plagiarism, the channels t	hrough which it will be handled, and										
	its consequences.											

Course Flow

		Monday and Wednesday 10:00 ам — 11:20 ам, Room 1185														
	SPRING 2012	16.01 WEEK 1	18.01	23.01 WEEK 2	25.01	30.01 WEEK 3	01.02	06.02 WEEK 4	08.02	13.02 WEEK	15.02 3 5	20.02 WEEK 6	22.02	27.02 WEEK		.02 0 V
Design			Dom	iain Rese	arch	Field	Research	1			Wiref	raming				
		Welcon	me &			••				•				•		
		Syllabı	us Revie	2W												
Deliverables						Territ	ory Map			Exp	lorative &			Eva	luativ	e
						Presentations					erative		Presentations			
										Pres	sentations	i		wit	h wire	frame
Technology														Fro	ntend	Develo
Deliverables																
Labs				HTML	_5		HTML	5				HTML	5		Н	TML5
				Basics	51		Basics	2&3				Patter	ns 1 & 2		Pa	atterns
Documentation						Subm	nit			Sub	mit			Sub	omit A	2
						Territ	ory Map	s &		Slid	es with			Des	sign Re	esearch
						Hunt Statements				Con	cepts		Documentation			

3 5 K 8	07.03	12.03 WEEK 9	14.03	19.03 WEEK 10	21.03	26.03 WEEK 11		2.04 /EEK 12	04.04	09.04 WEEK 13		6.04 VEEK 14	18.04	23.04 WEEK 15	25.04	30.04 WEEK 16	
		/ / / /			Valida	ition					F	Refiner	ment				
				•	Conce	ept	•				١	Windir	ng Up	Ø		•	
		/ / / /			Valida	ition					1	All Woi	rk ►				
		 												Prese	nt		
														All Fir	nal		
														Work			
nen	t	,				More	Developm	ent	Testing	g Phase			Finaliz	ation			
		/ / /															
		/		Presen	t Work	ing											
				Protot	ypes												
		/ / / / /	Usabil	ity	Heuri	stics	Moraé		Eyetra	cking	Eyetrack	ing					
		/ / / /	Evalua	ition	1&2						Results						
		/ / / /					Submit									Submi	t Final
							Test Plan	l								Docun	nentation